

Lessons learned from application of IFC PS 5 in urban resettlement

Roman Novozhilov

Senior Environmental and Social Specialist, IFC

Manila, February 21, 2016

International Finance Corporation

- Part of the World Bank Group
- Commitments in FY 2016: USD 11.1 bn (plus USD 7.7 bn mobilized funds)
- 104 offices in 98 countries
- Investments in private sector projects in emerging markets
- Investments in PPPs but our clients are private sector companies involved in PPPs



IFC Performance Standards

- •Before 2006 implemented in line with OP 4.12
- •2006 IFC Performance Standard 5 on Land acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement (updated 2012)
- Triggered in about half of IFC's investment projects
- Often the most significant social risk of a project
- Major reputational risk to Sponsors and IFC/ Lenders



IFC Performance Standard 5

- Involuntary resettlement: physical and/or economic displacement which affected persons cannot refuse.
- Physical displacement: Relocation or loss of homes/shelter.
- Economic displacement: Loss of land and/or other assets that leads to loss of income or other sources of livelihood.
- Resettlement Action Plan: Plan describing resettlement impacts (physical and economic) and mitigation.
- Livelihood Restoration Plan: Plan describing economic displacement impacts and mitigation.
- Government-led Resettlement: Resettlement undertaken by a Government agency on behalf of a project / Sponsor.



Key risks in resettlement

For affected persons:

- Becoming: homeless, landless, jobless, marginalized
- Loss of food security, common property resources
- Breakdown of social ties and support structures

For Sponsors and Lenders:

- Disruptions to access and business activities
- Loss of social license to operate
- Reputational risks.



PS 5: Main mitigation requirements

- Anticipate, avoid & minimize impacts (design)
- Quantify: Census, socio-economic baseline
- Mitigate & offset: replace, compensate, assist
- Livelihoods restoration
- Consult at all key stages, establish a grievance mechanism
- Monitor and evaluate regularly.

Planning:

 The points above captured in a Resettlement Action Plan or Livelihood Restoration Plan (or Framework)



Challenges of urban resettlement

- Infrastructure development, public vs private interests
- Diversity of affected parties, assets and livelihoods
- Often presence of marginalized groups, informal livelihoods
- Different degree of representation of various groups
- Significant degree of economic displacement, affecting economic interests and livelihoods, beyond land acquisition
- Complexity in estimating replacement value and compensation (nuances related to location and temporality)
- Government-led process, possible use of imminent domain



Lessons learned

- 1. Need for comprehensive high quality baseline, clear eligibility criteria and cut off dates for resettlement
- 2. Need for in-depth understanding of the local requirements, country systems is a key
- 3. Critical role of consultations, stakeholder engagement and transparency
- 4. Importance of building partnerships in implementing resettlement and livelihoods restoration
- 5.Critical importance of effective grievances mechanism



Lessons learned

- 6. Need for comprehensive monitoring of outcomes
- 7. Significant challenges in finding replacement land
- 8. Opportunistic political interests often complicate resettlement and compensation
- 9. Critical importance of timeliness in implementing resettlement and compensation
- 10. Need to achieve broad based support and consensus
- 11. Importance of public services, third parties
- 12. Importance of government's capacity

